## Socio economic aspect of dairy farmers of zone III off Rajasthan

## Dr. Vinod Kumar Sharma

Lecturer, Department of Agriculture (Animal science) S.C.R.S. Govt. College, Sawaimadhopur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: This article renders the status and the stage and leaving slandered of Zone III farmers of Rajasthan i.e. Tonk, Dausa, Ajmer and Jaipur distt. Article also in lights on their land size and numbers of animal kept by these area farmers

India stands first rank in population of livestock but in production India rank is eighth in world. There are many factors effect the production i.e. management, health and hygiene, breed, nutrition and climatic condition. Although many persons are engage in animal husbandry profession but they are either unskilled or illiterate and do not having sufficient knowledge of the animal husbandry. Research work and lab to land programme is not in to reach of farmers, this is also one of the reason of low production. Rajasthan can be considered as Denmark of India. There are still more chance of improvement.

The report inlights current status of livestock owner of Rajasthan specially zone III A where unfavorable weather conditions and unequal rain distribution in different places. Also this report suggests some ideas to promote the status and living of standard of farmers and improve or overcome the bottleneck in dairy husbandry practices. If farmers follow the tips and government launch the scheme to uplift the farmers status than definitely it may be useful for state as well as for country.

#### Introduction

Therefore, looking to the dire need of such comprehensive study in the semi arid eastern plain of Rajasthan this project is aimed to fulfill the following objectives

# 1. To study the socio-economic status of the cattle farmers and livestock production

## aspect of livestock husbandry in the region.

The status of dairyfarmers is not as good as other Indian farmers mostly are illiterate and follows old era practices that is why their animal production and own life slandered and living standared is not uplifted it can be uplift by adopting new scientific practices and package only required them attention and new govt scheme knowledge.

Districts	Less	than	1-2 ha		More	than
	1 ha				2 ha	
AJMER					1	
Tabiji	240	(5)	125	(2)	159	(3)
Pushkar	63	(5)	32	(2)	36	(3)
Ramner ki	106	(2)	106	(2)	233	(6)
Dhani						
KISHANGA	RH					
Rupangarh	157	(2)	234	(3)	438	(5)
Sarganwa	94	(2)	108	(3)	205	(5)
Barna	256	(5)	117	(3)	112	(2)
KEKARI						
Sawar	154	(4)	146	(4)	77	(2)
Bagera	319	(5)	149	(2)	234	(3)
Kadeda	256	(5)	117	(3)	112	(2)
SHAHPURA	<b>\</b>					
Manoharpu	328	(6)	104	(2)	78	(2)
r						
Nathawala	128	(5)	61	(3)	43	(2)
Amarpura	45	(5)	35	(4)	12	(1)
CHOUMU						
Kaladera	181	(3)	117	(2)	287	(5)
Bhutera	51	(1)	100	(3)	216	(6)
Hadouta	234	(4)	199	(4)	120	(2)
JAIPUR						
Tilawala	68	(5)	30	(2)	47	(3)
Balawala	306	(5)	133	(2)	220	(3)
Renwal	72	(2)	139	(3)	185	(5)

SIKRAI									
Bhojpura	15	(2)	22	(2)	48	(6)			
Sikrai	100	(6)	37	(2)	29	(2)			
Reta	112	(3)	109	(3)	122	(4)			
BASWA									
Peechu	114	(5)	72	(3)	30	(2)			
padakala									
Gulana	278	(5)	122	(2)	205	(3)			
Radawata	70	(3)	76	(3)	98	(4)			
DAUSA									
Aluda	70	(3)	76	(3)	98	(4)			
Baniyana	248	(7)	56	(2)	08	(1)			
Biharipura	39	(2)	39	(2)	131	(6)			
NIWAI									
Palai	28	(2)	26	(1)	119	(7)			
Sunara	27	(2)	40	(2)	103	(6)			
Akodia	49	(3)	38	(2)	81	(5)			
MALPURA									
Lawa	393	(3)	284	(2)	610	(5)			
Diggi	193	(2)	145	(1)	675	(7)			
Lanba	210	(4)	141	(2)	206	(4)			
Harisingh									
DEOLI									
Satwada	216	(3)	238	(3)	286	(4)			
Negedia	624	(6)	219	(2)	257	(2)			
Saroli	49	(5)	16	(2)	32	(3)			

Table 1:Number of farmers according to the three categories of theland holding in different villages of the selected villages of the selected Tehsils (Source: Agricultural census 2000-2001)

Figures in the parentheses are the number if farmers selected proportionately in the given category. The area under survey of the project included eight tehsils from four districts namely Ajmerm Jaipur, Dausa and Tonk covering 36villages and 540 farmers of agro climatic zone III A as depicted in fig 1&2

## **Socio- Economic aspects**

Socio- Economic status of the people indicates about the varies facts of life i.e. socio-culture setup including family, caste, organizations, groups, social changes, social processes, social disintegration, economic development in term of improvement in the village living standards of

Education society. is an important component of this aspect which decided the direction and dimensions of socio-economic development of the society. Planned development of any society, sector or area demands some basic or bench-mark information to be considered. In this cash focus is on agriculture sector including dairy and animal husbandry. By taking up studies relating to socio-economic upliftment of rural people it could be aimed at knowing the impact of technologies on rural society. The result of such studies could be an indicator as to know how the acceptance of technologies is bringing about social changes in our rural areas at different point of time.

Accordingly the strategies could be chalked out to reinvest our efforts and to accelerate the pace of development in desired direction.

The distribution of various castes viz. General, Other backward Classes (OBC), scheduled Castes (SC) & Schedule Tribes (ST) among three categories of farmers according to land holding in zone III A was investigated. It is revealed from table 4. that approximately three fourth of the farmers (73.75%) belonged to OBC followed by general (13.005) were as only 13.25% farmers belonged to ST & SC in which the share of SC was only 2.75%.

CAST					
CATEGORY	ST	SC	OBC	GEN.	TOTA L
I	24	7	140	26	197
II	12	4	92	9	117
III	21	3	166	36	226
TOTAL	57	14	398	71	540

Table2: Distribution of farmers according to caste & categoryinZone IIIA

CAST					
CATEGORY	ST	SC	OBC	GEN.	TOTAL
I	1	1	36	8	46
II	1	2	25	7	35
III	4	2	39	9	54
TOTAL	6	5	100	24	135

Table: 2 (a) Distribution of farmers according to caste & category in Ajmer District.

CAST					
CATEGORY	ST	SC	OBC	GEN.	TOTAL
I	20	7	25	9	61
II	11	5	11	6	33
III	12	2	17	10	41
TOTAL	43	14	53	25	135

Table: 2(b) Distribution of farmers according to caste & category in Dausa District.

CAST					
CATEGORY	ST	SC	OBC	GEN.	TOTAL
I	5	4	37	10	56
II	1	1	28	3	33
III	5	3	32	6	46
TOTAL	11	8	97	19	135

Table: 2(c) Distribution of farmers according to caste & category in Jaipur District.

CAST					
CATEGORY	ST	SC	OBC	GEN	TOTAL
I	5	5	24	8	42
II	2	4	18	2	26
III	4	5	46	12	67
TOTAL	11	14	88	22	135

Table: 2(d) Distribution of farmers according to caste & category in Tonk District.

The same trend was observed in all the selected districts except in Dausa district in which 40 per cent farmers belong to SC& ST category. There fore, it is evident that OBC dominates in the animal rearing in Zone III A whereas animal husbandry is less preferred by SC& ST category.

Literacy is the most important indicator in any society that determines about the awareness of farmers about various scientific practices adopted in animals rearing. As far as literacy rate of the farmers of surveyed area is concerned, 31.25 per cent farmers were educated up to middle class followed by senior higher secondary level (19.25%). About 42 per cent farmers were illiterates and very less (7.50%) farmers were educated above higher secondary level in which most of them belonged to category III i.e. having more then 2 hectare. of land (table 5). The percentage of illiterate farmers was higher than the zone's level in Aimer and Jaipur districts whereas the numbers of farmers having education above higher secondary level were found to be negligible in Ajmer & Jaipur districts.

Literacy Category	Illiterate	Up to VIII	Up to Hr. Sec.	Above	TOTAL
Ι	87	63	37	10	197
II	61	51	21	9	142
III	79	55	45	22	201
TOTAL	227	169	103	41	540

Table: 3Distribution of farmers according to level of literacy & category in ZoneIIIA

CAST	Illiterate	Up to VIII	Up to Hr. Sec	Above	TOTAL
Ι	31	9	4	0	44

II	18	10	3	0	31
III	32	20	8	0	60
TOTAL	81	39	15	0	135

Table: 3(a) Distribution of farmers according to level of literacy & category in Ajmer district.

CAST					
CATEGOR	Illiterat	Up	Up	Abov	TOTA
Y	e	to	to	e	L
		VII	Hr.		
		I	Sec		
Ι	16	24	12	8	60
II	9	15	3	3	30
III	17	11	6	11	45
TOTAL	42	50	21	22	135

Table: 3 (b)Distribution of farmers according to level of literacy & category in Dausa district.

CAST CATEGOR Y	Illiterat e	Up to VII I	Up to Hr. Sec	e	TOTA L
Ι	27	18	7	2	54
II	16	13	7	1	37
III	23	15	2	4	44
TOTAL	66	46	1 6	7	135

Table: 3 (c) Distribution of farmers according to level of literacy & category in Jaipur district.

CAST					
CATEGOR Y	Illiterat e	Up to VII I	Up to Hr. Sec	Abov e	TOTA L
Ι	6	8	21	4	39
II	8	7	8	7	30
III	7	12	34	13	66
TOTAL	21	27	63	24	135

3 (d) Distribution of farmers according to level of literacy & category in Tonk district.

Family structure according to family type and size was also studied under various categories of farmers and it was found that out of the 540 farmers the family structure of 90.50 percent farmers were single (Table...). Approximately the same trend was observed in all the selected districts. A total of 74.25 percent farmers were having more than five members in their family whereas 25.75 percent farmers were having less than five members in zone IIIA. The same trend of having more than five members in the family in comparison to less than five members was also observed in all the districts.

Parameter		Categories					
		TOTAL	I	II	III		
Type family	of	Single	137	85	140	362	
		Joint	12	12	14	38	
Size family	of	Up to 5	38	25	40	103	
		> 5members	111	72	114	297	

Table4:Distribution of farmers according to type and size of family in zone IIIA.

#### Conclusion

The status of farmers was also evaluated according to type of home, and the material in possession. About 98.25 percent of the farmers having 'Pucca' house whereas 0.25 percent residing in well built house. On grading the farmers according to material in possession, majority of the farmers were on low to medium score. Most of the farmers (94.25) have not received any type of knowledge aid from any NGO or KVK or government organization regarding various animal husbandry practices and even they did not receive any type training for the scientific rearing of the livestock.

Now-a-days many financial institutes have emerged in the society for providing financial assistance to the farmers for uplifting the social status through agricultural and allied activities. It was found that most of farmers (98.50%) did not obtain any assistance for animal farming.

## References

- 1. Löe H. The Gingival Index, the Plaque Index, and the Retention Index Systems. Journal of Periodontology 1967;38(6):Suppl:610 6.
- 2. O'Leary TJ, Drake RB, Naylor JE. The plaque control record. Journal of Periodontology 1972;43(1):38.
- 3. Pender N. Aspects of oral health in orthodontic patients. British Journal of Orthodontics 1986;13(2):95 103.
- 4. Rafe Z, Vardimon A, Ashkenazi M. Comparative study of 3 types of toothbrushes in patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2006;130(1):92 5.
- 5. Robertson MA, Kau CH, English JD, Lee RP, Powers J, Nguyen JT. MI Paste Plus to prevent demineralization orthodontic patients: a prospective randomized controlled trial. American Journal of

- Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2011;140(5):660 8.
- 6. Sharma NC, Lyle DM, Qaqish JG, Galustians J, Schuller R. Effect of a dental water jet with orthodontic tip on plaque and bleeding in adolescent fixed orthodontic patients with appliances. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2008;133(4):565 71.
- 7. Silness J, Löe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy. II. Correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica 1964;22:121 35.
- 8. Unkel JH, Fenton SJ, Hobbs G Jr, Frere CL. Toothbrushing ability is related to age in children. ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children 1995;62(5):346 8.
- 9. Yaacob M, Worthington HV, Deacon SA, Deery C, Walmsley AD, Robinson PG, et al. Powered versus manual toothbrushing for oral health. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 6.
- 10. Zachrisson BU. Direct bonding in orthodontics. American Journal of Orthodontics 1977;71:173 89.
- 11. Zingler S, Pritsch M, Wrede DJ, Ludwig B, Bister D, Kneist S, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing the impact of different oral hygiene protocols and sealant applications on plaque, gingival, and caries index scores. European Journal of Orthodontics 2014;36(2):150 63.